


Proposal mapping



Proposal scorecards

Proposal 1			
Dimension	Considerations	Rating	Overall (1 = worst, 5 = best)
1 Impact	Demonstrated need		2
	Applicability		
	Adoptability		
	Potential for health impact		
2 Cost	Investment appropriateness		5
	Reasonable total cost of ownership		
	Funder alignment		
3 Technology	Relationship to existing ecosystem		3
	Differentiated value		
	Sound technical strategy		
4 Probability of success	Overall approach		3
	Proposal plan		
	Partners		
	Team		
	Financial sustainability		
= High    = Medium    = Low			

Summary dashboard

Group	Proposal	Ratings				(\$)	Recommendation & rationale	Discussion questions
1	XXX							
	XXX							
	XXX							
	XXX							
2	XXX							
	XXX							
	XXX							
3	XXX							
	XXX							
	XXX							
	XXX							
4	XXX							
	XXX							
	XXX							
5	XXX							
	XXX							
	XXX							

Dimension	Considerations	Potential data inputs	
		Current round	Future rounds
1 Impact	<b>Demonstrated need:</b> Does the proposal appropriately address a significant country need or gap in the technology ecosystem as evidenced by use of, approval of, and/or interest in the technology?	GG Maturity Model, PRC assessment, regional network input	+ Mapping of technology ecosystem, national roadmaps, Digital Atlas data, demographic data
	<b>Applicability:</b> Does the proposal support a reusable digital health asset that could potentially be deployed in a variety of contexts over time?	PRC assessment	+ Mapping of technology ecosystem
	<b>Adoptability:</b> Does the proposal have a robust plan to drive adoption / scale (e.g. in terms of documentation, interoperability, being appropriately open sourced)?	Proposal, GG Maturity Model, PRC assessment	+ Submitter overview of adoption plan, demographic data
	<b>Potential for health impact:</b> What is the potential impact of the project on health systems and ultimately health outcomes?	PRC and DS assessment	
2 Cost	<b>Investment appropriateness:</b> Is the investment requested reasonable given the proposal's goals?	Budget submission, PRC assessment	
	<b>Reasonable total cost of ownership:</b> Is the total cost of ownership reasonable given target users?	N/A	PRC assessment, TCO tool
	<b>Funder alignment:</b> Is Digital Square the appropriate funder for this proposal versus other funders?	DS and PRC assessment	+ Donor review committee

Dimension	Considerations	Potential data inputs	
		Current round	Future rounds
3 Technology	<b>Relationship to existing ecosystem:</b> Does the proposal appropriately work with or leverage existing technologies?	PRC assessment	+ Mapping of technology ecosystem
	<b>Differentiated value:</b> Does the proposal provide unique value versus analogous projects or technologies?	PRC assessment	+ Mapping of technology ecosystem
	<b>Sound technical strategy:</b> Does the proposal articulate a compelling technical strategy based on appropriate technical documentation?	PRC assessment	
4 Probability of success	<b>Overall approach:</b> Is the proposal well-thought out and adherent to principles of digital development?	Tally of PRC red, amber, green votes	+ Submitter SWOT analysis
	<b>Proposal plan:</b> Are activities clearly articulated with owners and measurable indications of success?	PRC and DS assessment	
	<b>Partners:</b> Does the proposal involve collaboration between an appropriate set of partners (i.e. technical experts, users, donors, etc.)?	PRC and DS assessment	
	<b>Team:</b> Does the proposal team have the skillsets to deliver on the work?	PRC and DS assessment	
	<b>Financial sustainability:</b> Does the technology have other existing or anticipated funding streams or, at minimum, a reasonable business plan for future sustainability?	Proposal, GG Maturity Model	+ Submitter overview of long-term business or financial plan